LGDV

Time-Warped Foveated Rendering for Virtual Reality Headsets



<u>Linus Franke</u>¹, Laura Fink¹, Jana Martschinke¹, Kai Selgrad², Marc Stamminger¹

¹ Chair of Visual Computing, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

² OTH Regensburg, Germany

Motivation

ILGDV

Virtual Reality allows:

- Immersive experience
- Exploration of virtual worlds

VR Headsets have become consumer products



Source: No Man's Sky, Hello Games

Rendering for VR is expensive

- High framerate requirements (>70 Hz)
- Over the last years, HMD resolutions increased drastically
- A solution is to exploit weaknesses in the human visual system



Selected HMD Resolutions Per Eye

Cones: High spatial resolution

Rods: High temporal resolution, less spatial resolution





For us, this differentiates the Visual System into two parts:

- Foveal region (or Fovea), from 0° to $\sim 7^{\circ}$ eccentricity
- Peripheral region





Graphs adapted from Weier et al. 17 and Goldstein 16

Foveated Rendering

LGDV

Sensory non-uniformity can be exploited for faster rendering <u>Requirement</u>: Knowledge of the user's gaze, usually via real-time **Eye-Tracking**





Foveated Rendering: Related Work



Source: Guenter et al. 2012

Different resolutions approach:

- Full resolution in the fovea
- Progressively lower resolutions for peripheral regions

Strong Anti-aliasing necessary

May 3, 2021

Foveated Rendering: Related Work

Towards Foveated Rendering for Gaze-Tracked Virtual RealityAnjul Patney*Marco SalviJoohwan Kim
David LuebleAnton Kaplanyan
Aaron LefohnChris WymanNir BentyNVIDIAOfficient Colspan="4">Officient Colspan="4"Officient Colspan="4"Offi

Source: Patney et al. 2016



Different shading rates approach:

- Shading resolution in the fovea is highest
- Progressively lower rates towards the periphery

No acceleration beyond shading cost

Source: Swaroop Bhonde, Nvidia 2019

Foveated Rendering: Related Work

Foveated Real-Time Ray Tracing for Head-Mounted Displays

Martin Weier^{1,2}, Thorsten Roth^{1,5}, Ernst Kruijff¹, André Hinkenjann¹, Arsène Pérard-Gayot^{2,3}, Philipp Slusallek^{2,3,4}, Yongmin Li⁵

¹Bonn-Rhein-Sieg University of Applied Sciences, ²Saarland University, ³Intel Visual Computing Institute, ⁴German Research Center for Artificial Intelligence (DFKI), ⁵Brunel University London









Warped ray-tracing approach:

- Full Samples in the fovea
- Sparsely sample the periphery
- Reuse previous frames for hole-filling and resample

Image





- Reuse as much samples as possible

- Redraw only what is necessary
- Evaluating cleverly necessary



Reproject Last Frame



Reproject Last Frame





Forward warping







Forward warping, using world position buffer

•	

•	





































Forward warping, using world position buffer

Evaluate result



Evaluate result



Evaluate result







Reprojection



Hole-fill Mipmap









Hole-filled image



Reprojection only





Hole-filled image



Reprojection only





Hole-filled image





Hole-filled image



Redraw Map




Redraw Map







Redraw Map

Hierarchical culling of objects







Redraw Map

Hierarchical culling of objects







Redraw Map

Hierarchical culling of objects







Redraw Map

Hierarchical culling of objects







Hole-filled











(Almost) Final Image Used for next frames as input Redraw is improved by anti-aliasing

Reprojection can use motion smoothing

Reuse "inaccurate" depth based reprojection in TAA pipeline











- Formalize redraw decision
- Base on perceptual and reprojection characteristics

Confidence Function





Three main factors:

Three main factors:

- Size of holes





60°

 80°





Three main factors:

- Size of holes
- Eccentricity
- Contrast





Three main factors:

- Size of holes
- Eccentricity
- Contrast











Confidence map (after a few frames)







Confidence map (after a few frames)





Confidence map (after a few frames)







Confidence map (after a few frames)

Redraw decision based on cut-off value ϵ

Calibration user study (21 participants) identified $\epsilon = 0.2$



Rendered freshly

Composed Image

Evaluation – Validation User Study





Compare regular to time-warped foveated rendering

- 22 Participents
- No significant preference for any mode

Evaluation - Performance





Performance



Evaluation - Performance









Evaluation - Performance









- No support for moving lights
- No acceleration for transparency
- Difficulties with view-dependent post-processing (e.g. reflections)

Recap





Recap







Recap





next frame

Questions?



Email: linus.franke@fau.de

Twitter: @_linus_franke



Additional Slides

Dynamic Objects

LGDV





Errors if dynamic confidence falloff is too low:





